

# SUPPLEMENT

TO THE

# **GAZETTE** NEW ZEALAND

OF

THURSDAY, 16 DECEMBER 1982

Published by Authority

## WELLINGTON: FRIDAY, 17 DECEMBER 1982

The Companies Exemption From Prospectus Requirements Notice (No. 2) 1982

PURSUANT to section 56A of the Companies Act 1955, the Minister of Justice, on the recommendation of the Registrar of Companies, hereby gives the following notice.

### NOTICE

- 1. This notice may be cited as the Companies Exemption from Prospectus Requirements Notice (No. 2) 1982.
- 2. In respect of a loan to be raised by New Zealand Steel Development Ltd., outside New Zealand by the issue of guaranteed floating rate notes amounting to not more than US\$300,000,000 (of which US\$175,000,000 is to be raised during December 1982, January Lebrary 1982, and the initial templaby which notes a prouary and February 1983 as the initial tranche) which notes are proposed to be listed on the London Stock Exchange, New Zealand Steel Development Ltd., and every prospectus, report, invitation, advertisement, and other document issued or executed in connecadvertisement, and other document issued of executed in connection with the raising of that loan (whether in respect of the initial tranche or any subsequent tranche thereof), is hereby exempted from the requirements of sections 47 to 52, 55, 95A and 95D of, and the Fourth Schedule to, the Companies Act 1955, subject to the following conditions:
  - (a) That New Zealand Steel Development Ltd., deliver to the Registrar of Companies at Wellington within 21 days of being issued two copies of the preliminary offering circular

and the final offering circular issued in connection with the raising of the loan.

- (b) That the Registrar of Companies is satisfied that the copies of the preliminary offering circular are not materially dif-ferent from the draft preliminary offering circular previously delivered to him.
  (c) That New Zealand Steel Development Ltd:
  - - (i) Enter into a trust deed with The Law Debenture Corporation p.l.c. of London whereby The Law Debenture Corporation p.l.c. agrees to act as trustee for the note-holders; and
      - (ii) Deliver to the Registrar of Companies at Wellington within 21 days of its execution two copies of the trust
- (d) That New Zealand Steel Development Ltd., does not permit any of the notes to be offered for sale or sold in New Zealand except where it is a condition of the offer or sale that the consideration for the notes shall be paid only from foreign currency (as defined in section 28 of the Reserve Bank Act 1964) not being foreign currency acquired with New Zealand currency for the purpose of purchasing the
- 3. This notice does not limit or affect the operation of the Exchange Control Regulations 1978.

Dated at Wellington this 15th day of December 1982.

J. K. McLAY, Minister of Justice.

Objections to Proposed Boundaries and Classifications of the General Electoral Districts of New Zealand

THE Representation Commission appointed in pursuance of section 15 of the Electoral Act 1956 hereby publishes, in accordance with the provisions of section 18 of the said Act, a summary of the objecof New Zealand lodged with the Commission. The objections to the proposed boundaries of the general electoral districts of New Zealand lodged with the Commission. The objections are available for public inspection at the offices of the Chief Electoral Officer, Lebin House, 330 High Street, Lower Hutt, between the hours of 9 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m. each day, excluding Saturdays and Sundays, from today until the 23rd day of December 1982, and thereafter from the 5th until the 14th day of January 1983. 1983

The Commission further gives notice that written counter-objections to those objections or any of them will be received by the undersigned, the Chairman of the Commission, at the office of the Surveyor-General, Department of Lands and Survey, Private Bag, Wellington, not later than Friday the 14th day of January 1983 for consideration by the aforesaid Commission. If any counter-objectors wish to appear before the Commission in support of their counter-objections they must say so in their written counter-objections.

A copy of this notice including the summary of objections may be seen at the offices of each Registrar of Electors throughout the New Zealand and at each District Court.

Dated at Wellington this 10th day of December 1982.

B. H. BLACKWOOD, Chairman of the Representation Commission.

## SCHEDULE

### KAIPARA

Objection No. 187 W. R. Levet of Te Hana and 23 petitioners.

Seek the inclusion of Te Hana in Rodney for social and community of interest reasons.

Objection No. 206 Secretary, the Whangarei Heads Citizens Association and 317 petitioners.

The association has forwarded a petition seeking the retention of this area in Whangarei electorate. Geographically this area is linked to Whangarei and the community of interest is with the city.

Objection No. 207 J. M. Dennis of Te Hana and 42 petitioners. Similar to Objection No. 187.

### RODNEY

Objection No. 136 A. S. Norman of Riverhead.

Objects to the separation of Riverhead from Kumeu. Suggests Riverhead should be included in West Auckland and follow an earlier electoral boundary defining Rodney and Waitemata.

Objection No. 189 E. G. Leighton of South Kaipara Head.

Seeks favourable consideration to the inclusion of South Kaipara Head in West Auckland because of business interests and close ties in all respects.

### GLENFIELD

Objection No. 19 C. M. Ball of Northcote.

Objects on the basis of a community of interest factor related to the inclusion of an area at Hillcrest previously in Birkenhead. Objection No. 40 K. C. Robinson of Northcote.

Submits the northern side of Ocean View Road should be returned to Birkenhead on a basis of community of interest.

Objection No. 61 R. Jackson of Northcote.

Objects to the loss of Willow Park from Birkenhead on grounds of community interest.

Objection No. 95 A. N. Denny of Birkenhead and 17 petitioners.

The proposed boundary should revert to its existing position along Eskdale Road as the community of interest lies with Birken-

EAST COAST BAYS AND OTHER NORTH ISLAND ELECTORATES Objection No. 102 L. R. Stanton of Epsom.

(i) Suggests Long Bay-Okura portion of Albany Ward be transferred to East Coast Bays.
(ii) Riverhead should be included in West Auckland and Waimauku—Woodhill included in Rodney.
(iii) Move the southern boundary of West Auckland to follow the full length of Henderson Valley Road to the Borough of Henderson.

Include waterview within the boundaries of Mount Albert and retain Bollard and Whitney Streets in New Lynn.

(v) The existing local authority boundary should be held for the boundaries of Mount Albert and Eden electorates.

(vi) The area south of Ellerslie Racecourse should remain in Onehunga Electorate and the cemetery and a reserve should form the common boundary of Remuera and Tamaki electorates.

(vii) Goodall Street and the area south of Hillsborough Ceme-

tery should be returned to Roskill.

(viii) As Pah Road forms a better boundary the area to the east

of this road should be transferred to Onehunga.

(ix) Panmure should be extended south to the Auckland Golf Course and Papatoetoe extended westward to include all

of Massey Road. (x) Franklin should include all of Franklin County with corresponding adjustments with Papakura to include the balance areas of Manukau City.

(xi) The boundary of Glenfield should follow Forrest Hill Road

(xii) Birkenhead should be extended to include those houses on the north side of Eskdale Road.
 (xiii) Suggests regrouping of other Central North Island electorates. Splitting Taupo and Tokoroa into two electorates is logical but causes other distortions.

Objection No. 111 C. H. Patterson of Birkenhead.

Proposals deficient in four major aspects:

Community of interest.

Unnecessary splits of Local Authorities.

Previous boundaries discarded without good reason. (iv) Population variations between electorates is too large. In detail:

(1) Residents of Eskdale Road should be included in Birkenhead. (2) Birkenhead City and Northcote Borough should be included in the same electorate and retention of the existing electoral

boundary will maintain a community of interest.

(3) Okura and Long Bay should be included in East Coast Bays.

Also suggests the tolerance should be reduced to 1 percent.

Objection No. 158 Dominion Secretary New Zealand Social Credit Political League.

Objection is made that community of interest would be better served if Castor Bay was included in North Shore as the Pupuke Golf Course forms a significant topographical barrier. This would involve the inclusion of the area of the proposed motorway and north of Sunnynook Road. Glenfield would then extend into North Shore as far as East Coast Road, Gordon Avenue, Nile Street, Forrest Hill Road and across A. F. Thomas Park.

Objection No. 169 City Manager, City of East Coast Bays.

The boundary should follow the middle of Forrest Hill and East Coast Road including Windsor Park, Rangitoto College, and the City Depot. The area south of Okura Creek to Long Bay should also be included in East Coast Bays.

Objection No. 210 City Manager, Takapuna City Council. Similar to Objection No. 169.

### BIRKENHEAD

Objection No. 147 Town Clerks, City of Birkenhead, Borough of Northcote.

The two councils submit on community of interest grounds the electoral boundary should conform with the territorial authority boundary.

## WEST AUCKLAND

Secretary, Helensville Branch N.Z. Social Credit Political League. Objection No. 161

The proposed southern boundary separates Woodside, Swanson, and Rathgar Roads from Henderson/Te Atatu on a community of interest of factor and the eastern boundary divides Kumeu with little regard for physical and community associations. A better boundary would be:

(i) Huruhuru Creek to Don Buck Road, Swanson Road, Henderson Borough boundary, and Sturgess Road.

(ii) Follow the Kumeu Ward boundary for the northern and east-

ern boundaries from the west coast to Paremoremo Creek.

### WAITAKERE

Objection No. 173 M. E. Longworth of Henderson Valley and 114 petitioners.

Object to the division of Henderson Valley into Waitakere and West Auckland as they are serviced by Henderson.

Objection No. 180 A. P. Lile of Glen Eden on behalf of local people.

Suggests that all of Kelston should be included in Waitakere and that Green Bay should be included in New Lynn.

Objection No. 181 R. K. Maxwell, M.P. for Waitakere.

Similar to Objection No. 180. This adjustment is supported by local facts as they apply to the criteria set out in the Electoral Act.

Objection No. 185 F. Mangnall of Glen Eden. Similar to Objection No. 180.

#### TE ATAT

Objection No. 28 City Secretary, City of Waitemata.

Agrees with the boundaries, however the names should be as follows: Te Atatu (Waitemata); Waitakere (Waitemata South); West Auckland (Waitemata North).

Objection No. 148 Town Clerk, Henderson Borough Council.

Objects to the name Te Atatu, considers Henderson to be more appropriate.

#### NEW LYNN

Objection No. 44 Town Clerk, Glen Eden Borough Council.

Objects on the basis that the borough is now included in two electorates. Kahi Terrace, Cartwright Road, and Netherlands Avenue should be included in Waitakere Electorate and Cezanne Place should be included in New Lynn Electorate.

Objection No. 196 R. G. Bryant of Kelston.

Similar to Objection No. 180.

Objection No. 199 K. P. Lynch of Green Bay.

Considers the area bounded by the New Lynn Borough boundary, Golf Road, and Titirangi Road should remain in Waitakere for reasons of community of interest and the need to avoid changing long established boundaries—both political and local authority. The change appears to be made to meet electoral population quotas and this could be more readily achieved near Kelston.

#### MOUNT ALBERT

Objection No. 67 T. Hassall of Mount Eden.

Appeals against the transfer of that portion of Burnley Terrace from Eden electorate. Suggests the local authority boundary should be maintained and that electoral equity be achieved through proportional representation.

#### **EDEN**

Objection No. 27 Town Clerk, Mount Albert City.

The council considers that the adjustments made in Dominion Road, Balmoral Road, and Sandringham Road should conform to the territorial boundary in order to preserve some semblance of community of interest.

Objection No. 29 Town Clerk, Mount Eden Borough.

Similar to Objection No. 27.

Objection No. 126 A. E. and S. R. Gailey of Mount Albert.

Argue for the retention of the local authority boundary for the electoral boundary of Mount Albert and Eden. This reflects a great degree of community of interest. Consider Hunting Tree Avenue should form part of Mount Albert.

Objection No. 132 D. McRae of Mount Eden.

Similar to Objection No. 126.

Objection No. 159 President Eden Branch N.Z. Social Credit Political League and 423 petitioners.

The changes to the western boundary of Eden are unnecessary and contrary to the interests of the local community and all of Mount Eden Borough should be retained within the electorate.

Objection No. 171 Secretary, the Kingsland Society.

Similar to Objection No. 27.

Objection No. 178 R. M. Carter of Epsom.

Objects to the inclusion of a portion of Remuera in the electorate and that the new boundary along Sandringham and Dominion Roads breaks a solid cohesive block of the community. The southern boundary could extend further south to the natural barrier by the Masonic Village. If the boundaries remain unchanged the name should become Eden-Epsom.

Objection No. 192 Chairman Mount Eden Planning Group.

Considers that Mount Eden Borough must be included within the electorate. The Surveyor General's provisional proposals as printed in an Auckland paper best represent the community of interest of the district.

### AUCKLAND CENTRAL

Objection No. 32 R. W. Prebble, M.P. for Auckland Central.

Objects to the classification of "Urban or Predominantly Urban". As the electorate includes Great Barrier and Waiheke Islands either "Partially Urban and Partially Rural" or "Rural or Predominantly Rural" should apply.

Objection No. 79 Sectretary, Auckland Central Labour Party Electorate Committee.

Similar to Objection No. 32.

Objection No. 97 Chairman Great Barrier Island County Council. Similar to Objection No. 32.

Objection No. 212 Chairman, Waiheke Island County Council Similar to Objection No. 32.

#### ONEHUNGA

Objection No. 14 V. E. Wallace of Epsom.

Considers the area to the west of One Tree Hill extending from Green Lane West to Raurenga Avenue should be included in Eden Electorate on the basis of community of interest with the adjoining district.

Objection No. 17 I. M. S. and J. I. Field of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14, asks for this locality to be added to Eden.

Objection No. 34 B. T. Duke of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 35 I. A. C. Duke of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 36 M. N. Thacker of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 37 M. A. Wardill of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 38 S. M. Nicholas.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 50 D. J. Robertson of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 52 N. M. May of Epsom and three others.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 56 D. J. Ross, J.P. of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 59 G. Weston-Webb of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 60 P. B. and R. R. Fox of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 69 M. Weston-Webb.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 98 J. J. and D. Bryce of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 116 B. P. Cucksey.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 184 P. T. Kiely of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

Objection No. 209 P. P. Hunter of Epsom.

Similar to Objection No. 14.

### REMUERA

Objection No. 33 A. L. Turnock of Ellerslie and 16 petitioners.

The residents in Morrin, Robert, and Somerfield Streets advise their area has always been an integral part of Onehunga and they want to remain in this electorate.

Objection No. 193 N. D. George of Ellerslie.

Objects to the division of the Borough of Ellerslie, considers it should be totally included in Onehunga.

Objection No. 194 C. Elliott of Ellerslie.

Similar to Objection No. 193.

## PANMURE

Objection No. 18 President, Pakuranga Jaycee Inc.

Expresses strong opposition to the inclusion of a portion of Pakuranga in Panmure. The areas are separated by the Tamaki River. Objection No. 58 T. McCallum of Pakuranga.

Similar to Objection No. 18.

### MANGERE

Objection No. 134 R. D. Tait and also C. and W. Hennah.

Object to an electoral boundary dividing Henwood Street. The proposed southern motorway or Tidal and Massey Road should form the boundary with Papatoetoe.

### MANUREWA

Objection No. 93 D. J. Hale of Takanini and 150 petitioners.

As the Conifer Grove portion of Takanini is separated from the rest of Papakura the boundary should be adjusted to follow the Manukau Golf Course. Objection is lodged to unite this area with Papakura.

Objection No. 104 P. Booker of Manurewa.

Suggests the balance of Conifer Grove should be included in Papakura.

Objection No. 149 Hon. M. L. Wellington, M.P. for Papakura.

Similar to suggestion No. 93. Suggests that all of Takanini south of the Manukau Golf Course be included in Papakura.

Objection No. 197 Secretary, Conifer Grove School.

Similar to Objection No. 93. Conifer Grove should be included in Papakura.

Objection No. 200 Chairman, Papakura Branch, N.Z. Social Credit Party.

Similar to Objection No. 93.

#### PAPAKURA

Objection No. 96 F. P. Hogan, of Karaka.

Similar to Objection No. 93. Also suggests an area to the North and West of Pukekohe should be included in Franklin and a portion of Franklin between the Motorway and Mill Road i.e. Totara Park should be included in Papakura.

Objection No. 208 Chairman, Pukekohe Area Branch, N.Z. Social Credit Party.

Suggesting Paerata and Patumahoe should be included in Franklin for reasons of community of interest.

#### OTARA

Objection No. 46 Town Clerk, Borough of Howick.

Objects to the proposed boundaries of Papuranga and Otara in that the boundary bisects the community and business centre. The name Otara related to one area only at the southern end of the electorate. East Tamaki is a name that more readily identifies this area.

Objection No. 170 J. I. Snadden.

Considers Manukau to be a more appropriate name.

### FRANKLIN

Objection No. 110 County Secretary, Franklin County Council.

Council's objecton is based on the need to have all the county included within one electorate i.e. Franklin, based on the need to observe community of interest.

Objection No. 156 Dominion Secretary, N.Z. Social Credit Political League.

Objection is raised that Franklin County should be contained within the electorate. Papakura should contain parts of Manukau City including Takanini, Beachlands, and Maraetai. Otara (Howick) should contain parts of Pakuranga east of Bucklands Beach Road, Otara east of Otara Creek and all of Howick Borough. Panmure should not include any portion of Pakuranga i.e. Tamaki River forms the divider but should contain all of Otahuhu Borough and parts of Mangere to the north and east of Haines Road and Yates Road. Mangere includes all the areas west of Papatoetoe City. Papatoetoe extends into Otara and South to Wiri Station Road and Manurewa should include the areas south of Redoubt Road to the Puhinui Stream.

Objection No. 183 J. C. Morell of Drury.

Similar to Objection No. 110.

Also suggests the map sheets of each electorate should be at the same scale.

### RAGLAN

Objection No. 160 President Raglan Branch, N.Z. Social Credit Political League.

Objects to the proposed boundary in that the existing east-west split follows the general movement of people to and from Hamilton/Te Awamutu, etc., based on natural topographical boundaries, community of interest, and communications.

### HAMILTON WEST

Objection No. 82 Hamilton West Branch, N.Z. Social Credit Party.

While agreeing to the changes for Hamilton West electorate the northern boundary should follow Te Rapa Road, Vardon Road, and Minchin Avenue.

### WAIKATO

Objection No. 41 J. Ritchie of Hamilton.

Expresses concern that Hillcrest has been excluded from Hamilton East as the community of interest is with Hamilton.

Objection No. 53 J. Reinaller of Hamilton.

Similar to Objection No. 41 in that Gazely Avenue should be included in Hamilton East.

Objection No. 70 H. Gardiner of Hamilton.

Similar to Objection No. 41.

Objection No. 99 F. Foreman of Hamilton.

Similar to Objection No. 41.

Objection No. 106 M. Wright of Hamilton and 3 others.

Protesting at their removal from Hamilton East Electorate.

Objection No. 129 D. J. Wilson of Hamilton.

Similar to Objection No. 41.

Objection No. 142 Secretary, Hamilton Resident's Association.

Expressing concern that Hillcrest has been excluded from Hamilton East. Suggest a northern portion should be excluded to provide a basis for a third electorate to link up with the portion that has been excluded from Hamilton West.

Objection No. 143 R. N. Hynson.

Suggesting Hillcrest should be included in Hamilton East.

Objection No. 146 Hamilton East Branch, N.Z. Labour Party.

Objects to the loss of Hillcrest. Similar to objection 142 in that the northern boundary of Hamilton East should follow Clarkin Road, Snell Drive, and Porritt Stadium with the southern boundary following the Hamilton City boundary.

Objection No. 154 P. S. Freyberg of Hamilton and 40 petitioners. Similar to Objection No. 41.

#### MATAMATA

Objection No. 65 Chairman, Pukeatua Federated Farmers.

The Chairman on behalf of local residents has objected to the loss of Pukeatua Ward from Waipa County and Electorate. As a very small minority use Putaruru in preference to Te Awamutu they have requested the existing boundary should be retained.

## Kaimai

Objection No. 49 City Secretary, City of Tauranga.

Suggests the areas encompassed within the Local Government Commissions proposed boundary alteration and the suburb of Maungatapu be included in Tauranga.

### WAIPA

Objection No. 55 The Mangakino Ward Member Taupo County

Council.
The Whakamaru Home Owners Association and Mangakino Electricity Group.

Object on the basis of community of interest that the hydro villages of Maraetai and Whakamaru should be included in Tongariro Electorate.

### **TONGARIRO**

Objection No. 13 Mayor, Taumarunui Borough.

Objects to the inclusion of Taumarunui Borough in Tongariro Electorate and requests the common boundary be the Hauhungaroa Range to Ruapehu and the Manganuiateao and Wanganui Rivers to Pipiriki. This would place Ohakune, Raetihi and Waiouru and most of Waimarino County in Tongariro Electorate. This satisfies population criteria, community of interest, topographical features and tribal affiliations and also preserves the historical link of Taumarunui with the King Country area.

Objection No. 68 Taumarunui Branch, N.Z. Social Credit Political League Inc.

Objects to the loss of Taumarunui from King Country Electorate and suggests a similar change to Objection No. 13.

Objection No. 90 B. A. and D. C. Hayes of Taumarunui.

Similar to objection No. 68, the community of interest extends to Turangi and the shore of Lake Taupo.

Objection No. 123 V. C. Haines of Te Kuiti.

Historically Taumarunui forms part of the King Country and for topographical reasons this link should be maintained. An adjustment should be made with Raetihi, Ohakune and Waiouru going to Tongariro and Taumarunui going to King Country.

Objection No. 152 H. Amohia and others of Taumarunui.

Expresses a wish to remain in the King Country electorate.

Objection No. 162 D. H. Mason of Te Kuiti for the N.Z. Social Credit Political League.

Similar to Objection No. 13.

Objection No. 163 Town Clerk, Tokoroa Borough Council.

Council strongly suggests the inclusion of Tokoroa in a Tongariro Council strongly suggests the inclusion of Tokoroa in a Tongariro electorate does not satisfy the requirements of Section 16 of the Electoral Act 1956. The proposed district has no common bond at district or area level. As an alternative Taumarunui should be returned to King Country and Tokoroa be associated with an electorate in the South Waikato area. If minimal changes are considered, Kinlock and the smaller communities around Lake Taupo should be included in Waikaremoana and the hydro towns of Manaking Atjonyuri and Whakamaru he included with Tokoroa in gakino, Atiamuri, and Whakamaru be included with Tokoroa in Tongariro.

### **EAST CAPE**

Objection No. 47 Chairman, Waikohu County Council.

As the interests of the county lie in Gisborne an objection is lodged as the county has very little if any community of interest in the East Cape-Whakatane area. To accommodate this adjustment an area of Cook County either north up the East Coast or south towards Wairoa could be transferred.

Objection No. 64 14 Residents of Ngatapa Ward, Waikohu County.

Object on the basis of having more community of interest with Gisborne City than Whakatane. They would prefer to be included in Gisborne Electorate.

Chairman, Galatea Branch, N.Z. Federated Objection No. 72 Farmers.

Objects to the electoral boundary separating Galatea from Murupara. Consideration should be given to the inclusion of both areas in Tarawera Electorate, as less than 1100 votes are involved.

Objection No. 190 J. Brosnahan of Ohope.

Advises an apparent discrepancy on the map showing the boundary near Whakatane.

Objection No. 204 Register of Electors, East Cape.

Similar to Objection No. 190.

#### WAIKAREMOANA

Objection No. 71 Justus and Wilson J. E.

Objecting that the criteria required to form new boundaries has not been observed.

Objection No. 112 County Clerk, Hawke's Bay County Council.

(i) Objects on the basis of no centre of common interest, magnitude of distance and problems with representation if member is based say in Taupo.

(ii) Peripheral areas around Hastings City should be included within the electorate.

Objection No. 114 R. M. Read, Ward member of Okawa, Hawkes Bay County and 329 petitioners.

Expresses strong objection to removal from Hawkes Bay on grounds of communication, access to elected member and topography.

Objection No. 115 L. and P. Tew of Nuhaka.

Similar to Objection No. 114 in that Wairoa has little affinity with Taupo.

Objection No. 118 Town Clerk, Borough of Murupara.

There is a loss of community interest in separating Murupara from Galatea. Difficulty of communication and topographical features will prevent ready contract with Wairoa.

Objection No. 151 J. Woolf of Wairoa.

Similar to Objection No. 112.

Objection No. 165 Secretary, Murupara Businessmens Association.

Similar to Objection No. 112. Views with dismay an electoral boundary that separates Murupara from Galatea.

Objection No. 168 General Manager, Taupo County Council.

Objection is raised on the grounds that little regard was given to the criteria to be observed in forming new electoral boundaries. Kinloch and Wairakei are serviced from Taupo and should be included in Waikaremoana.

Objection No. 174 General Manager, Borough of Taupo.

A joint submission signed by the chairman of Hawkes Bay, Taupo and Wairoa County Councils and the Mayor of Murupara, Taupo and Wairoa Borough Councils, objecting to the electoral districts within their territorial districts on the lack of community interest as required by section 16 of the Electroal Act. The main objection would be met by-

(i) The removal of Tokoroa and the inclusion of Taupo in Tonga-

(ii) The inclusion of Murupara in East Cape. (iii) The inclusion of Wairoa in Gisborne.

In terms of Section 16 (f) regard could be taken of the existing boundary of Gisborne.

Objection No. 191 D. Lund of RD2 Napier and 27 petitioners.

Similar to Objection No. 112. As communication lines and community interests reside with Hawkes Bay region strong objection is made and a right is demanded to stay in Hawkes Bay.

### HASTINGS

Objection No. 12 Town Clerk, Hastings City.

As a balance of population is now available between Flaxmere and Havelock North the interests of the City would best be served by an electorate more representative of its community of interest. The exchange of Havelock North for Flaxmere including those residential enclaves that are at present within the city but not the electorate would have no adverse effect on Hawkes Bay Electorate.

#### HAWKES BAY

Objection No. 23 A. J. Harris of Flaxmere.

Objects to the present system to determine boundaries of elec-toral districts as prescribed by statute.

Objection No. 24 Chairman, Tamatea Community Council.

Objects to the division of Tamatea into two electorates and seeks the retention of the existing boundary of Napier.

Objection No. 76 P. Trow of Napier and 73 petitioners.

Similar to Objection No. 24.

Objection No. 166 M. H. Hansen of Napier.

Similar to Objection No. 24. Objects to the proposal to place Tamatea in two electorates.

#### KING COUNTY

Objection No. 4 Registrar of Electors at Inglewood.

Objects to the inclusion of Inglewood and Waitara in King Country, as both towns are virtually suburbs of New Plymouth. The adjustment disregards the community of interest factor and facilities of communication. Suggests Stratford and its country associations should be used to provide a balance of population.

Objection No. 6 County Clerk, Inglewood County Council.

Objects to the inclusion of the major part of the county now being included in King Country. There would be no community of interest, the seat of the electorate would be less accessable, and problems peculiar to the area would have little sympathy with the King Country area.

Objection No. 7 Town Clerk, Inglewood Borough Council.

Objects to the inclusion of Inglewood in King Country on the basis of community of interest, and facilities of communication in that topographical features dictates the limitation of communication between King Country and Taranaki. It is essential for Council to retain a close contact with its parliamentary representative due to the close proximity of the energy development projects. The Council requests that consideration be given to the retention of Inglewood in Taranaki.

Objection No. 31 Taranaki Branch, N.Z. Social Credit Political League.

Objects to the lack of a suitable link between Inglewood, Waitara, and Te Kuiti to provide a community of interest between these areas, A more suitable boundary is the provincial line.

Objection No. 137 Chairman, Stratford County Council.

Objects to the division of the county by King Country and Taranaki electorates. Suggests as an alternative proposal, Clifton, Stratford, Inglewood and part of Taranaki form one electorate, the balance of Taranaki, Egmont, Waimate West, Eltham, Hawera and Patea would form the second electorate and Wanganui and Waitotara would be linked with Taumarunui to form the King Country electorate.

Objection No. 140 Mayor, Inglewood Borough Council and 417 petitioners.

Objects to the Borough and district being transferred from Tar-anaki, based on public opinion, Community of Interest, Facilities of Communications, and Retention of Provincial and Regional Affiliations.

Objection No. 141 King Country Regional Development Council: President, Southern King Country Branch of Federated Farmers; Chairman, Taumarurui, Retailers Association.

Object to the inclusion of Taumarunui in a Tongariro electorate. The town is inter-dependent with the country and would be isolated geographically by the Hauhaungaroa Range, suggest the boundary be adjusted through the inclusion of Waimarino County in Tongariro.

Objection No. 155 Dominion Secretary, N.Z. Social Credit Political League.

On community of interest grounds. Waitara and Clifton form part of Taranaki and that King Country should include Taumarunui Borough and County and also Waimarino Waitotara and Wanganui. Taupo should be restored to a "Tongariro" electorate and Wairoa linked to Gisborne.

Objection No. 167 District Clerk, Waitomo District Council.

Objects to the loss of Taumarunui and the addition of Waitara, Inglewood and Stratford. The proposed boundaries break up the traditionally recognised King Country region. Suggests Raetihi could be added to Tongariro.

#### TARANAKI

Objection No. 62 Town Clerk, Manaia Town Council.

Council objects to the separation of Manaia from Hawera in view of the shared community of interest and suggests that Eltham should be included in Taranaki.

Objection No. 100 County Manager, Waimate West County Council.

Object to the county being placed into two electorates and suggest that all of Waimate West should be placed in Waitotara.

#### WAITOTARA

Objection No. 1 Registrar of Electors at Marton.

Objects to the inclusion of the Borough of Marton in Waitotara Electorate when its historical association is with Rangitikei. Suggests any adjustment to Rangitikei should be made on the eastern or southern boundary.

Objection No. 26 R. and C. Stirling of Marton.

Similar to Objection No. 1. Requests Marton be returned to the existing Rangitikei Electorate.

Objection No. 87 B. C. Beetham, M.P. for Rangitikei.

Objects to the loss of Marton from Rangitikei. Similar to Objection No. 1.

Objection No. 120 A van Raat of Wanganui.

Objects on the basis that Bastia Hill is a separate and compact residential suburb that is dependent on the City for all services. More reasonable to select an area for attachment to Waitotara that has an existing relationship.

Objection No. 124 R. K. Phillips of Wanganui and 547 petitioners. Similar to Objection No. 120.

Objection No. 135 President, Marton Branch, N.Z. Social Credit Political League Inc.

Objecting to the transfer of Marton from Rangitikei for reasons of community of interest.

Objection No. 138 Mayor, Marton Borough Council.

Similar to Objection No. 1. Objects to the transfer of Marton.

Objection No. 198 Town Clerk, Wanganui City Council.

Considers the removal of Bastia Hill and Durie Hill considerably exceeds the requirement to reduce the population of Wanganui to the tolerances provided by the Electoral Act.

### RANGITIKEI

Objection No. 11 County Clerk, Manawatu County Council.

Objects to the placement of that portion of the county previously in Manawatu Electorate, in Rangitikei.

Objection No. 20 Secretary, Rangioroua Branch of Federated Farmers at Foxton.

Similar to Objection No. 11. The 197 members object to being included in Rangitikei as the area of Oroua Downs, Taikorea, Glen Oroua, and Rangiotu have little affinity with the area to the north of No. 3 State Highway.

Objection No. 66 Secretary, Rongotea Community Council.

Similar to Objection No. 11. There are strong business, social and sporting connections with Palmerston North and they wish to remain in Manawatu Electorate.

Objection No. 94 Secretary, Sanson Community Council.

Similar to Objection No. 11. As the natural affinity is with Palmerston North and the Manawatu the existing boundaries should not be changed.

### MANAWATU

Objection No. 42 County Clerk, Horowhenua County Council.

Objects to the loss of Shannon Community from Horowhenua due to affinity of interest and regional involvement.

Objection No. 91 Horowhenua Rural District Council.

Similar to Objection No. 42.

Objection No. 105 Secretary, Horowhenua United Council.

Advising that Shannon and Tokomaru should remain in Horowhenua Electorate.

Objection No. 164 R. G. Niusen of Shannon and 70 other petitioners.

Similar to Objection No. 42.

Objection No. 201 County Clerk, Waitarere Community Council. Similar to Objection No. 42.

Objection No. 202 County Clerk, Horowhenua County Council.

Objects on behalf of the inhabitants of Tokomaru Ward. Similar to Objection No. 42.

### **PAHIATUA**

Objection No. 2 Chief Surveyor at Napier.

Advises the present county and proposed electoral boundary intersects the Chambers, Ryder and Bruce properties near the Mangaonuku Stream. He suggests all these properties should be included in Hawkes Bay Electorate.

Objection No. 57 Secretary, North East Wairarapa Rural Action Committee.

Objects to the area covered by Tinui to the north, Whareama and Homewood to the south being split by an electoral boundary. Suggests the original Castlepoint Ward boundary would provide a better line.

Objection No. 108 Registrar of Electors, Masterton.

Suggesting the boundary of the electorate should follow the Ruamahanga River and follow across the country to Tauweru so as to include Upper Plain, Opaki, and Landsdowne in Wairarapa.

Objection No. 211 Clapham, Gaskin and Company of Masterton.

Suggests an area at Kibblewhite Road should be included in Masterton.

#### KAPITI

Objection No. 150 D. Armitage of Porirua.

Suggests the proposed boundary is an absurdity and a more logical line would follow Niagara Street and its extension to the county boundary. It would also form a division for the suburbs of Waitangirua and Tairangi.

### PORIRUA

Objection No. 80 Porirua Returning Officer.

Advises the present boundary line is a more suitable definition of the Cannon's Creek area and considerable difficulties will arise in enrolling electors within the proposed boundaries. Also the area of Grenada North should be included in Porirua as the road access is through this electorate not Ohariu.

Objection No. 81 Te Awaiti, He Karere Ki Pari-Rua.

Similar to Objection No. 80 objecting to the new boundary through Waitangirua.

Objection No. 113 Chairperson, Cannons Creek Community Council.

Object to the division of Waitangirua in that the change is not required in terms of population numbers. The total population for Porirua is within the statutory tolerance and the existing boundary should be maintained.

Objection No. 121 Chairman, Tairangi Community Council. Similar to Objection No. 113.

### **EASTERN HUTT**

Objection No. 117 J. J. Terris, M.P. for Western Hutt and T. J. Young, M.P. for Eastern Hutt.

Suggesting an alternative for the adjustment of the Eastern and Western Hutt boundaries. The river should be retained as the boundary with Manor Park and Haywards transferred to Western Hutt.

### WELLINGTON CENTRAL

Objection No. 119 President, Oriental Bay Ratepayers and Residents Association.

The Association advises their district is split by the electoral boundary and that the line should either follow the town belt out to Point Jerningham or follow Moeller Street, Hawker Street, Roxburgh and Hood Streets to Oriental Parade. Require a sensible conclusion to keep their community intact.

Objection No. 175 B. H. Paton of Wellington.

Advocates the use of Regional names when appropriate for the electorates and raises objections to Waikato (Te Rapa), Tongariro (Tokoroa, Taumarunui, Tokaanu, Turangi or Wairakei), East Cape (Tokomaru, Whangaparaoa, Waimana or Waiapu), Waikaremoana (Ngarimu, Ballantrae, Batten, Bledisloe, Hilary, Rutherford, Te

Kanawa, Bracken, Fergusson, Freyberg), Hawkes Bay (McLean Ormond or Hawkes Bay Urban), Taranaki (Egmont), Wanganui (Wanganui Urban), Manwatu (Kairanga or Himitangi), Horowhenua (Tararua), Wairarapa (Wairarapa South), Nelson (Nelson Urban) Urban), Marlborough (Wairau), Ashburton (Fitzgerald), Otago (Otago-Lakeland).

Also considers the Local Government Commission Chairman should have voting rights.

Secretary, Wellington Central Branch, N.Z. Social Credit Party. Objection No. 177

Similar to Objection No. 176.

### ISLAND BAY

Objection No. 85 R. and E. Coote of Wellington.

Objecting to the inclusion of Norna Crescent in Island Bay electorate.

Objection No. 153 E. M. Wilson and 235 petitioners.

Object to the arbitrary splitting of Kelburn into two electorates.

The criteria set by section 16 (f) of the Electoral Act have not been fulfilled and community of interest makes the strongest case to retain Kelburn in Wellington Central.

Objection No. 176 President, Island Bay Branch, N.Z. Social Credit Party.

Suggest the names of all four Wellington electorates should be abandoned as follows—Island Bay (Wellington South), Miramar (Wellington East), Wellington Central (Wellington North), Ohariu (Wellington West, Wellington Environs, or Wellington Suburbs). Proposes that Houghton Bay should be included in Island Bay, Mt. Victoria should be included in Miramar and Island Bay and Highbury should be returned to Wellington Central.

Objection No. 179 Secretary, Greater Kelburn Progressive Association.

Similar to objection No. 153 in that Highbury should be retained in Wellington Central.

### ST ALBANS

Objection No. 63 M. K. and J. F. Roberston.

Consider the Richmond area north of Medway Street should be included in Christchurch Central. In their view the "community interest" criteria have not been observed.

Obiection No. 89 J. Russell of Christchurch.

Similar to Objection No. 63. Woodchester Avenue and the suburb of Richmond should remain in Christchurch Central.

Objection No.127 J. M. and E. M. Spurr.

Object to the transfer of Kainga from Rangiora to Papanui. The community of interest factor is with Rangiora Borough.

Objection No. 195 C. G. Hayward, NZLP Rangiora Electorate.

Due to community of interest factors Kainga, Stewards Gully and Brooklands should be retained in Rangiora. Also that area of Parklands to the south and west of Mairehau Road should be included

Objection No. 109 Alan McRobie of Christchurch.

- (i) Makes several observations as to how electorates should be formed, in particular changes should be kept to a minimum, i.e., Timaru and Ashburton. This is not the case for Avon and other Christchurch electorates where changes are unnecessarily disruptive. Research shows electors place great emphasis on continuity of representation and existing electorates should be maintained within population limitations.
- (ii) Papanui should be called Christchurch North, Saint Kilda and Saint Albans should revert to Saint Kilda and Saint Albans and for consistency West Auckland should be Auckland West

(iii) Boundary of Yaldhurst should follow Peer Street along its new extensions.

Near Avondale Bridge the boundary of Avon should follow the Avon River.

### FENDALTON

Objection No. 16 Town Clerk, Riccarton Borough.

Council objects to the name of the electorate, would be more appropriate to be called Riccarton.

### CHRISTCHURCH CENTRAL

Objection No. 10 Secretary Christchurch East Branch N.Z. Labour Party.

Suggests the boundary of Christchurch Central revert to its former position along Dudley Creek and Avon River from Averill Street through to Kerrs Reach. The river forms an effective barrier and the community of interest is split along this boundary.

Objection No. 51 N. E. Champion of Christchurch.

Similar to Objection No. 10 in that Dallington should be included in St Albans.

Objection No. 54 Secretary St Albans Residents Association.

Similar to Objection No. 10 in that Dallington and Avonside should be returned to St Albans and Christchurch Central, the area to the north of Breezes Road and east of the Avon River, and an area south of Mairehau Road including Median, Bolero, Karlawe, Curzon and Daytona Streets be included in Avon.

Objection No. 139 Secretary, Chisnallwood Social Club, Representing 80 members.

Similar to Objection No. 109 in that the boundary should follow the Avon River, Bowe Avenue and Travis Road.

Objection No. 5 Canterbury Regional Council N.Z. Social Credit Political League.

Suggests that Spreydon, Barrington or Christchurch South would be more appropriate names and that three areas:

- (i) Cashmere Stream / Bridgemere Lane / Homecroft Courts approximately 50 people involved.
- (ii) Nos. 112-160 Sparks Road-Approximately 50 people
- involved.
  (iii) Nos. 233-337 Hendersons Road—Approximately 80-100 people involved.

be included within this electorate on the basis of community of interest.

Objection No. 45 Secretary, Bromley Branch N.Z. Labour Party.

The area bounded by Moorehouse Avenue, Ferry Road, Ensors Road and the Railway Line is more identified with Christchurch Central than Sydenham. The division created by the railway line causes a split of community of interest and the branch objects to the alteration.

Objection No. 128 J. Phillips of Linwood.

Similar to Objection No. 45.

### SELWYN

Objection No. 22 J. J. Walker of Sockburn.

Protests at the continued inclusion in Selwyn of an area bounded by Hanson's Lane, Blenheim Road and Main South Road. On the basis of community of interest this area should be included in Yaldhurst.

### ASHBURTON

Objection No. 103 M. J. Guile of Fairlie and 147 petitioners.

Suggesting that part of McKenzie County east of the Two Thumb Range, i.e., Ashwick Flat and Allandale should be included in Timaru.

### **OTAGO**

Objection No. 8 County Clerk, Lake County Council.

Proposals disregard the nature of the rural Otago District by linking Port Chalmers, Ravensbourne etc., with Queenstown. Considers the existing electoral boundaries meet the requirements of strong regional identity.

Secretary, Port Chalmers Waterfront Workers Industrial Union of Workers. Objection No. 25

Considers it vital that the borough should retain its links with Dunedin. There is a close association both economically and historically with the city, and it is essential to be united. Objection No. 30 Town Clerk, Borough of Queenstown.

Similar to Objection No. 8 in that community of interest and communication factors have not been taken into account and that the existing boundary should be retained.

Objection No. 39 J. M. & A. W. Johnson of Dunedin.

As West Harbour is a suburb of Dunedin the area is more easily serviced from the centre of the city rather than Queenstown.

Objection No. 48 D. R. Williamson of Port Chalmers and 5 petitioners.

Similar to Objection No. 45.

Objection No. 73 J. L. Mark of Sawyers Bay, Dunedin and 13 petitioners.

Similar to Objection No. 25.

Objection No. 74 K. B. Perry of Sawyers Bay and 2 others.

Similar to Objection No. 25.

Objection No. 75 G. I. Walker of Sawyers Bay and 9 petitioners. Similar to Objection No. 25.

Objection No. 77 I. C. Douglas of Port Chalmers and 5 petitioners. Similar to Objection No. 25.

Objection No. 78 Town Clerk, Alexandra Borough Council. Similar to Objection No. 8.

Objection No. 88 President, Dunedin North Branch N.Z. Social Credit Party

Similar to Objection No. 25.

Objection No. 125 J. L. Cairns of Ravensbourne and 56 petition-

Similar to Objection No. 25.

### OTAGO AND ADJACENT ELECTORATES

Objection No. 157 Dominion Secretary, N.Z. Social Credit Political League.

The following changes are suggested in the basis of the criteria relating to Section 16 (f) of the Electoral Act.

Dunedin West should not include Mosgiel and the northern boundary of Dunedin North adjusted accordingly. Waikaia Ward should be retained by Wallace and Tuapeka and Roxburgh returned to Otago. The problem is Mosgiel but this should not create further problems to five other electorates.

#### ST KILDA

Objection No. 205 Town Clerk, Borough of Green Island.

Council has expressed concern that a small portion of the borough has been included in this electorate and suggest the local authority boundary should form the electoral boundary.

### **DUNEDIN WEST**

Objection No. 3 B. P. MacDonnell M.P., for Dunedin Central.

Comments that Mornington would be more descriptive than the name Dunedin West and that the classification should be partially urban and partially rural.

Objection No. 21 A. S. Harris of Green Island.

Suggest that: (1) Burnside, (2) Taieri, (3) Flagstaff, (4) Green Island (in that order) would be a more appropriate name.

### CLUTHA

Objection No. 9 County Clerk, Tuapeka County Council.

Objects to the inclusion of the county in a coastal electorate as it is more allied towards Cental Otago. The area is included in the Clutha-Central Otago United Council and any adjustment of boundary should be on the east coast area of Waihemo and Silver Peaks counties.

Objection No. 15 B. F. Coleman of Roxburgh.

Objects on the basis that the new boundary severs a traditional link between Roxburgh and the remainder of Central Otago. The area is linked geographically and does not relate in any way with Clutha or Port Chalmers. Seeks a reinstatement of the south-eastern boundary as shown on the 1971 map of proposed boundary

Objection No. 43 Town Clerk, Borough of Lawrence.

Objects on the basis that it is not in the best interests of Lawrence to be included in this electorate as the borough is allied to Central

Objection No. 83 Secretary Otago Electric Power Board.

Similar to objection No. 9. The distribution area extends to Raes Junction and East of Roxburgh and the Board believes these areas have a community of interest with the rest of Central Otago.

Objection No. 84 D. Kirkpatrick of Roxburgh.

Similar to Objection No. 15.

C. J. McKenzie of Fortrose and Chairman, Tokanui Branch, N.Z. Federated Farmers. Objection No. 86

Object to their inclusion in Clutha. The area is logically serviced from Invercargill and there is widespread public suport against the proposal.

Objection No. 92 A. G. Craig of Roxburgh and 2 others.

Similar to Objection No. 15.

Objection No. 101 P. M. Ensor of Brighton and 274 petitioners.

Objects to their inclusion in Clutha Electorate as Brighton has a natural affinity with Mosgiel, Green Island and Dunedin.

Objection No. 107 J. R. Waigth of Roxburgh.

The proposed division requires two members of Parliament to service the Alexandra-Roxburgh area. These towns have a community of interest whereas there is no affinity whatsoever with Balclutha, etc.

Objection No. 122 Provincial President, Southland Federated Farmers, Invercargill.

Object to the changes whereby Toetoes, Wyndham and Tokanui are now excluded from Awarua. An alternative would be to place Mataura in Clutha.

Objection No. 130 County Clerk, Maniatoto County Council. Similar to Objection No. 9.

Objection No. 131 Principal Officer, Clutha-Central Otago United Council.

Similar to Objection No. 9.

W. J. G. and K. A. Craig, M. S. S. Wetherall of Roxburgh. Objection No. 133

Similar to Objection No. 9. The transfer of Roxburgh to Clutha is grossly illogical.

Objection No. 144 County Clerk, Southland County Council.

Council opposes the transfer of those areas to the east of the Mataura River from Awarua. The present boundary closely follows the regional boundary and should be retained. There is a loss of community of interest and the river is to an acceptable boundary.

Objection No. 145 Southland County Council on behalf of 1589 petitioners.

Similar to Objection No. 144.

Objection No. 172 Town Clerk, Borough of Roxburgh.

Similar to Objection No. 9.

Objection No. 182 L. N. Davidson of Roxburgh.

Similar to Objection No. 9.

Objection No. 203 Secretary Teviot Fruitgrowers Assoc.

Similar to Objection No. 9.

# Objections to Proposed Boundaries of the Four Maori Electoral Districts of New Zealand

THE Representation Commission appointed in pursuance of section 15 of the Electoral Act 1956 hereby publishes, in accordance with the provisions of sections 18 and 23 of the said Act, a summary of objections to the proposed boundaries of the four Maori electoral districts of New Zealand lodged with the Commission. The objections are available for public inspection at the offices of the Chief Electoral Officer, Levin House, 330 High Street, Lower Hutt, between the hours of 9 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m. each day, excluding Saturdays and Sundays, from today until the 23rd day of December 1982, and thereafter from the 5th until the 14th day of January 1983. day of January 1983.

The Commission further gives notice that written counter-objectiors to those objections or any of them will be received by the undersigned, the Chairman of the Commission, at the office of the Surveyor-General, Department of Lands and Survey, Private Bag, Wellington, not later than Friday the 14th day of January 1983 for consideration by the aforesaid Commission. If any counter-objectors wish to appear before the Commission in support of their counter-objections they must say so in their written counter-objec-

A copy of this notice including the summary of objections may be seen at the offices of each Registrar of Electors throughout New Zealand and at each District Court.

Dated at Wellington this 10th day of December 1982.

B. H. BLACKWOOD, Chairman of the Representation Commission.

### **SCHEDULE**

### NORTHERN MAORI

Objection No. 186 General Secretary, Mana Motuhake O Aotearoa

Strongly suggest that Waiheke Island be included in Northern Maori and the boundary around Papaptoetoe City should follow Puhinui Road.

### EASTERN MAORI

This boundary should follow No. 32 State Highway around the shore of Lake Taupo to Waihi and thence along the Taupo County boundary to Mount Tongariro.

Also suggested the names of the electorates be altered as follows:-

Northern—Tai Tokerau Western—Tai Hauauru Eastern—Tai Rawhiti Southern—Te Waipounamu

Objection No. 188 Secretary, Maori Policy Committee, Social Credit Party

The party question the validity base of the population

numbers for each electorate.

Objection is also made to the lack of increase in the number of Maori electorates.

### WESTERN MAORI

H. Amohia of Taumarunui and Tribes Wanganui, Objection 152 Waikato, Maniapoto, and Tuwharetoa.

Suggesting a new electorate to be called Te Rohe Potae.